Of particular interest to our resonance Raman studies is the nature of the Co<sup>II</sup>-S bonding in the title complex. Within experimental error, one thiourea group is planar while the second deviates from planarity. Atom displacements (in Å) from the least-squares planes are -0.017(6), -0.02(2), -0.02(2) and 0.06 (2), respectively, for the S(1), N(1), N(2), C(1) unit and 0.002 (5), 0.00 (2), 0.00 (2) and -0.01 (2), respectively, for the S(2), N(3), N(4), C(6) unit. Bonding of  $Co^{II}$  to a thiourea can involve an  $sp^2$  orbital localized on the S atom as well as a delocalized  $\pi$ symmetry molecular orbital. Bonding of the former type is characterized by a Co-S-C/S-C-N dihedral angle in the range 17 to 34°; bonding of the latter type was indicated by a considerably larger dihedral angle  $(75 \cdot 8^{\circ})$  reported for Co[SC(NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>4</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O (Spofford, Boldrini, Amma, Carfagno & Gentile, 1970). While  $sp^2$ -type bond angles for thiourea complexes normally span the range 108-116°, the Co-S-C angle associated with the large dihedral angle above was  $103.0^{\circ}$ . The four Co-S-C/S-C-N angles in the title complex range from 36 to 48° while the two unique Co-S-C angles are  $104 \cdot 1$  (7) and  $103 \cdot 5$  (6)°. Thus, Co-S bonding in the title complex appears to lie at the boundary where 'pure'  $sp^2$  bonding becomes mixed with  $\pi$ -symmetry Co-thiourea interactions.

The  $ClO_4^-$  ions appear to be loosely held in lattice holes by Coulombic forces and weak hydrogen bonds to neighboring amine groups. All O atoms involved in hydrogen bonding have relatively small temperature factors. Conversely, those O atoms far removed from the N atoms or which make poor hydrogen-bonding angles have large temperature factors. We thank the National Institutes of Health (Grant AM-16412 to HJS) for financial support and the Center for Computer and Information Services, Rutgers University, for providing computer time.

## References

- BONAMICO, M., DESSY, G., FARES, V. & SCARAMUZZA, L. (1973). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 876–878.
- CURTIS, N. F., MCCORMICK, I. R. N. & WATERS, T. N. (1973). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1537–1548.
- FAWCETT, T. G., OU, C. C., POTENZA, J. A. & SCHUGAR, H. J. (1978). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 2058–2062.
- FAWCETT, T. G., USHAY, M., ROSE, J. P., LALANCETTE, R. A., POTENZA, J. A. & SCHUGAR, H. J. (1979). *Inorg. Chem.* 18, 327–332.
- HAMILTON, W. C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 502-510.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JUNGST, R. & STUCKY, G. (1974). Inorg. Chem. 13, 2404–2408.
- MASTROPAOLO, D., THICH, J. A., POTENZA, J. A. & SCHUGAR, H. J. (1977). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 424–429.
- OU, C. C., MISKOWSKI, V. M., LALANCETTE, R. A., POTENZA, J. A. & SCHUGAR, H. J. (1976). *Inorg. Chem.* 15, 3157-3161.
- PIOVESANA, O. & FURLANI, C. (1968). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 30, 1249–1256.
- POTENZA, J. A., JOHNSON, R. J. & SAN FILIPPO, J. JR (1976). Inorg. Chem. 15, 2215–2218.
- SALAMA, S., SCHUGAR, H. J. & SPIRO, T. G. (1979). Inorg. Chem. 18, 104–107.
- SPOFFORD, W. A. III, BOLDRINI, P., AMMA, E. L., CARFAGNO, P. & GENTILE, P. S. (1970). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 40–41.

Acta Cryst. (1979). B35, 1463-1465

## Structure of *cis*-Di- $\mu$ -methoxo-bis( $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienylnitrosylchromium)

BY ANDREW D. U. HARDY AND GEORGE A. SIM

Chemistry Department, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland

(Received 15 February 1979; accepted 6 March 1979)

Abstract.  $[Cr_2(CH_3O)_2(C_5H_5)_2(NO)_2]$ ,  $C_{12}H_{16}Cr_2-N_2O_4$ , orthorhombic, *Pnma*, a = 12.810 (7), b = 17.575 (6), c = 6.431 (3) Å, Z = 4, V = 1447.8 Å<sup>3</sup>,  $M_r = 356.2$ ,  $D_c = 1.63$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 728,  $\mu(Mo\ Ka) = 1.58$  mm<sup>-1</sup>, R = 0.068 for 718 reflections. The molecule has a plane of symmetry passing through the O and C atoms of the bridging methoxo groups. The  $Cr_2O_2$  ring is buckled, with the two  $Cr_2O$  planes inclined at 156°. The Cr–O lengths are 1.955, 1.960 (6) Å and the Cr–Cr distance is 2.882 (2) Å.

The O-Cr-O angle is  $83.0(3)^{\circ}$  and the Cr-O-Cr angles are 94.6(4),  $95.0(3)^{\circ}$ .

**Introduction.** The four-membered ring  $M_2X_2$  is a common feature of binuclear metal complexes. The preparation of the complexes  $[(\eta^5-C_3H_3)Cr(NO)X]_2$ , where X = SPh, NMe<sub>2</sub>, etc. (Ahmad, Bruce & Knox, 1966) made available an opportunity to examine the effect on the ring geometry of changes in the bridging ligand X, and the molecular structures of trans- $[(\eta^5-C_3H_3)Cr(NO)X]_2$ 

0567-7408/79/061463-03\$01.00

© 1979 International Union of Crystallography

 $C_5H_5$ )Cr(NO)SPh]<sub>2</sub> (McPhail & Sim, 1968) and *cis*and *trans*-[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(NO)NMe<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (Bush & Sim, 1970) have been described. We now report an X-ray diffraction study of *cis*-[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(NO)OMe]<sub>2</sub>.

1464

The cell dimensions were determined from angular measurements obtained on a Hilger & Watts four-circle diffractometer with Zr-filtered Mo  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation. Intensities were measured by the  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  step-scan procedure and 718 independent reflections in the range  $\theta \leq 26^{\circ}$  satisfied the criterion  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ .

The asymmetric unit comprises half the molecule. Analysis of the Patterson synthesis gave the coordinates of the Cr atom and established that a crystallographic plane of symmetry passes through the methoxo ligands. The C, N and O atoms were located in an electron-density distribution and the atomic parameters were then adjusted by least-squares calculations. Full-matrix refinement with isotropic thermal parameters gave R = 0.100, after which anisotropic parameters were introduced and R decreased to 0.076. A difference synthesis revealed the H atoms and, when these were included with  $B = 3 \text{ Å}^2$ , R became 0.070. Finally, the H atom coordinates were included in the refinement which converged at R = 0.068,  $R_w = 0.058$ . The weighting scheme was  $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$ . Calculations were performed at the Atlas Computer Laboratory with the XRAY 63 program system.\*

**Discussion.** The molecular structure and atomic numbering are shown in Fig. 1 and the arrangement of molecules in the cell is illustrated in Fig. 2. The atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

The  $Cr_2O_2$  ring is not planar, the Cr and O atoms being alternately 0.14 Å above and below the mean

\* Lists of structure factors, thermal factors and H atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 34320 (8 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 1. The molecular structure with atomic labels.



Fig. 2. The crystal structure, viewed in projection along c.

Table 1. Atomic coordinates  $(\times 10^4)$ 

	x	У	Z
Cr(1)	1231 (1)	1680 (1)	1264 (2)
O(1)	-354 (6)	1316 (4)	-1724 (10)
O(2)	693 (7)	2500 ()	3016 (12)
O(3)	2083 (7)	2500 (-)	83 (13)
N(1)	280 (6)	1559 (4)	-525 (11)
C(1)	1692 (12)	466 (6)	895 (22)
C(2)	2589 (10)	887 (6)	1379 (20)
C(3)	2494 (10)	1198 (6)	3299 (18)
C(4)	1533 (10)	981 (6)	4128 (19)
C(5)	1029 (10)	545 (6)	2672 (24)
C(6)	-365 (13)	2500 (-)	3693 (29)
C(7)	2348 (16)	2500 (-)	-2040 (26)

Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

$\begin{array}{ccc} Cr(1)-Cr(2) & 2.88 \\ Cr(1)-N(1) & 1.68 \\ Cr(1)-O(2) & 1.95 \\ Cr(1)-O(3) & 1.96 \\ Cr(1)-C(1) & 2.22 \\ Cr(1)-C(2) & 2.23 \\ Cr(1)-C(2) & 2.24 \\ Cr(1)-C(4) & 2.24 \\ Cr(1)-C(5) & 2.20 \\ \end{array}$	2 (2) 9 (8) 5 (6) 0 (6) 7 (11) 1 (12) 7 (12) 8 (12) 6 (12)	$\begin{array}{c} O(2)-C(6)\\ O(3)-C(7)\\ N(1)-O(1)\\ C(1)-C(2)\\ C(1)-C(5)\\ C(2)-C(3)\\ C(3)-C(4)\\ C(4)-C(5) \end{array}$	1.423 (19) 1.407 (19) 1.199 (10) 1.402 (18) 1.431 (20) 1.356 (17) 1.395 (17) 1.371 (18)
$\begin{array}{c} O(2)-Cr(1)-O(3)\\ Cr(1)-O(2)-Cr(2)\\ Cr(1)-O(3)-Cr(2)\\ C(2)-C(1)-C(5)\\ C(1)-C(2)-C(3)\\ C(2)-C(3)-C(4)\\ C(3)-C(4)-C(5) \end{array}$	83.0 (3) 95.0 (3) 94.6 (4) 104.9 (11) 110.0 (12) 108.5 (11) 107.9 (11)	$\begin{array}{c} C(4)-C(5)-C(0)\\ Cr(1)-N(1)-C(2)-C(0)\\ Cr(1)-O(2)-C(2)-C(1)-O(3)-C(0)\\ O(2)-Cr(1)-N(0)\\ O(3)-Cr(1)-N(1)-N(1)\\ Cr(2)-Cr(1)-1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

plane of the ring. The fold about the Cr–Cr line is 156° whereas the corresponding angle for the Cr<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> ring of cis-[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(NO)NMe<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> is 178°. The greater puckering of the methoxo complex can be attributed to its less symmetrical pattern of steric strain, for the methoxo complex has methyl groups on only one side of the Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ring and the dimethylamido complex has pairs of methyl groups above and below its Cr<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> ring. Several related *trans* complexes have crystallographic centres of symmetry and their Cr<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> rings are therefore necessarily planar, *trans*-[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(NO)PMe<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (Bush & Sim, 1968), *trans*-[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(NO)-NMe<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (Calderón, Fontana, Frauendorfer & Day, 1974).

The Cr-Cr separation in the methoxo-bridged complex is 2.882 (2) Å. This is appreciably greater than the 2.62 Å in *trans*-[ $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(NO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, 2.67 Å in *trans*-[ $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(NO)NMe<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, and 2.72 Å in *cis*-[ $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(NO)NMe<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> but is shorter than the 2.95 Å in *trans*-[ $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(NO)SPh]<sub>2</sub>. Unbridged Cr-Cr single-bond distances are 2.97 Å in the binuclear [Cr<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> anion (Handy, Ruff & Dahl, 1970) and 3.28 Å in [ $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (Adams, Collins & Cotton, 1974).

The M-M', M-X, M-X-M', and X-M-X'lengths and angles of a central  $M_2X_2$  ring are not independent and the metal-metal separation must be considered in relation to the other dimensions of the ring. Since the single-bond covalent radii of S, sp<sup>3</sup>-N and O are ca 1.04, 0.71, and 0.66 Å, the mean Cr-S, Cr-N, and Cr-O distances of 2.32, 2.01, and 1.96 Å in the complexes  $[(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)Cr(NO)X]_2$  are clearly consistent. The X-M-X' angles in the S-, N- and Obridged complexes are 101, 95, and 83°, respectively, while the M-X-M' angles are 79, 84, and 95°, respectively. The smaller X-M-X' angles in the dimethylamido and methoxo complexes serve to counterbalance to some extent the reductions in the Cr-Cr distance that would result from the replacement of the S atom in the  $Cr_2S_2$  fragment by the distinctly smaller N or O atoms. The appreciable difference between the ring angles in the dimethylamido and methoxo complexes, together with the concomitant difference in the Cr-Cr distance, is surprising, however.

It has been noted that when the angle at the metal atom in an  $M_2X_2$  ring is obtuse and that at the bridging ligand is acute, the ring generally has a metal-metal bond and, conversely, when the ring lacks a metalmetal bond this arrangement of angles is reversed (Dahl, de Gil & Feltham, 1969; Connelly & Dahl, 1970; Simon & Dahl, 1973). If this test is applied to the  $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cr(NO)X]_2$  complexes, the angular pattern suggests that the O-bridged complex differs from the Sand N-bridged complexes by lacking a direct metalmetal bond. Nevertheless, all these complexes are diamagnetic and metal-metal spin coupling must be a common feature. It is possible, of course, that superexchange interaction through the bridging ligands may be important in the methoxo complex.

We thank Dr G. R. Knox for a sample of the complex and the Science Research Council for financial assistance.

## References

- ADAMS, R. D., COLLINS, D. E. & COTTON, F. A. (1974). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 749–754.
- Анмад, М., Bruce, R. & Knox, G. R. (1966). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 21, 289.
- BUSH, M. A. & SIM, G. A. (1970). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 611-616.
- CALDERÓN, J. L., FONTANA, S., FRAUENDORFER, E. & DAY, V. W. (1974). J. Organomet. Chem. 64, C10–C12.
- CONNELLY, N. G. & DAHL, L. F. (1970). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 7472-7474.
- DAHL, L. F., DE GIL, E. R. & FELTHAM, R. D. (1969). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 1653-1664.
- HANDY, L. B., RUFF, J. K. & DAHL, L. F. (1970). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 7312-7326.
- MCPHAIL, A. T. & SIM, G. A. (1968). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 1858–1865.
- SIMON, G. L. & DAHL, L. F. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 783-789.

Acta Cryst. (1979). B35, 1465-1468

## The Structure of Dichloro-DL-ethioninepalladium(II)

By Francesco Bigoli, Enrico Leporati and Maria Angela Pellinghelli

Istituto di Chimica Generale, Università di Parma, Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del CNR, Parma, Italy

(Received 12 June 1978; accepted 12 March 1979)

**Abstract.** Pd(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S)Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $M_r = 340.547$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$ , a = 11.065 (11), b = 14.539 (12), c = 7.138 (4) Å,  $\beta = 97.60$  (4)°, U = 1138 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,

 $D_m = 1.96$ ,  $D_c = 1.98$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 2.20$  mm<sup>-1</sup>. The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by block-diagonal least squares to R =

0567-7408/79/061465-04\$01.00